Spontaneous CP violation & Baryon Asymmetry

Ryosuke Sato



K. Fujikura, Y. Nakai, <u>R. Sato</u>, M. Yamada, JHEP 04 (2022) 105, arXiv:2202.08278

2022. 6. 28 @ Nagoya U.

[1/29]

Why $d_n \ll \mu_n$?

Interaction between neutron spin & electromagnetic field

$$H = -d_n \frac{\vec{s} \cdot \vec{E}}{|s|} - \mu_n \frac{\vec{s} \cdot \vec{B}}{|s|}$$

Observed values :

 $|d_n| < 1.8 \times 10^{-26} \ e \ cm$ [nEDM collab. (2001.11966)] $\mu_n \simeq -2.0 \times 10^{-14} \ e \ cm$ [particle data group] (c.f. $\hbar c/1 \ GeV \simeq 2 \times 10^{-14} \ cm$)



EDM is T-odd observable. (MDM is T-even.)

T transf. :
$$\vec{s} \to -\vec{s}$$
, $\vec{E} \to \vec{E}$, $\vec{B} \to -\vec{B}$

Small EDM means good T symmetry ?

[2/29]

T (CP) violation in the Standard Model

T symmetry
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
 CP symmetry
CP (T) viol. in SM $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cdot & CP \text{ phase in CKM matrix} \\ \cdot & \theta \text{ term in QCD} \end{array} \right\}$
 $L = -y_{u,ij}q_{L,i}u_{R,j}\tilde{H} - y_{d,ij}q_{L,i}d_{R,j}H + \frac{\theta g_s}{32\pi^2}G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$
 $U_{u}y_{u}V_{u} = \text{diag}(m_{u},m_{c},m_{t})/\nu$
 $U_{d}y_{d}V_{d} = \text{diag}(m_{d},m_{s},m_{b})/\nu$
 $\delta_{CKM} \sim O(1)$ e.g., sin 2 arg $\left(-\frac{v_{cd}V_{cb}^{*}}{v_{td}v_{tb}^{*}}\right) = 0.691 \pm 0.017$
[Particle Data Group]
 $\left|\tilde{\theta}\right| < \sim 10^{-10}$
 $\frac{d_{n}}{e} \sim \bar{\theta} \times \frac{m_{u}}{m_{n}} \times \frac{1}{m_{n}}$
 $< 10^{-26} \text{ cm}$ $10^{-3} \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}$
 $\left[3 / 29 \right]$

Why $\left| \overline{\theta} \right|_{< 10^{-10}} \ll \delta_{\text{CKM}}$??

The strong CP problem

[Jackiw, Rebbi (1976)] [Callan, Dashen, Gross (1976)] [Peccei, Quinn (1977)]

[4/29]

A solutions to strong CP problem

Spontaneous CP breaking

[Nelson (1984)] [Barr (1984)]

- CP is good symmetry in UV Lagrangian
- CP is spontaneously broken by VEV of some scalar field
- CKM phase is from this breaking

But, we have to avoid generation of $\bar{\theta}$ after CP breaking. How?

 \rightarrow Suppress $|\bar{\theta}|$

[5/29

 $\rightarrow \delta_{\rm CKM} \neq 0$

0. Strong CP problem

1. A brief review on Nelson-Barr Mechanism

2. Nelson-Barr meets Affleck-Dine Baryogenesis

[6/29]

[Bento, Branco, Parada, (1992)]

- CP symmetry is good symmetry in UV
- CKM phase from spontaneous breaking of CP

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \quad y_u, \ y_d, \ y_D, \ M_D \text{ are real} \\ \rightarrow \quad \langle \eta_a \rangle \text{ are complex} \end{array}$

	SM-like quarks			Vector-like quarks		CP breaking field
	$q_{L,i}$	$u_{R,i}^c$	$d^c_{R,i}$	D_L	D_R^c	η_a
SM gauge group	(3,2) <u>1</u> 6	$(\bar{3},1)_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	$(\bar{3},1)_{\frac{1}{3}}$	(3,1)_ <u>1</u>	$(\bar{3},1)_{\frac{1}{3}}$	(1,1) ₀
Z_N	0	0	0	+1	-1	-1

 Z_n breaking terms

 $L = y_{u,ij} q_{L,i} u_{R,j}^c \widetilde{H}$

 $+ y_{d,ij}q_{L,i}d_{R,j}^{c}H + y_{D,ai}\eta_{a}D_{L}d_{R,i}^{c} + M_{D}D_{L}D_{R}^{c}$



[Bento, Branco, Parada, (1992)]

- CP symmetry is good symmetry in UV
- CKM phase from spontaneous breaking of CP

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \quad y_u, \ y_d, \ y_D, \ M_D \text{ are real} \\ \rightarrow \quad \langle \eta_a \rangle \text{ are complex} \end{array}$

 $m = yv, B_i = \sum_a \kappa_{ai} \langle \eta_a \rangle$

$$-L_{mass} = (u_{R,1}^{c} \ u_{R,2}^{c} \ u_{R,3}^{c}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{u,11} & m_{u,12} & m_{u,13} \\ m_{u,21} & m_{u,22} & m_{u,23} \\ m_{u,31} & m_{u,32} & m_{u,33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{L,1} \\ u_{L,2} \\ u_{L,3} \end{pmatrix} + (d_{R,1}^{c} \ d_{R,2}^{c} \ d_{R,3}^{c} \ D_{R}^{c}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{d,11} & m_{d,12} & m_{d,13} & B_{1} \\ m_{d,21} & m_{d,22} & m_{d,23} & B_{2} \\ m_{d,31} & m_{d,32} & m_{d,33} & B_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{L,1} \\ d_{L,2} \\ d_{L,3} \\ D_{L} \end{pmatrix}$$
arg det $M_{D}^{(4 \times 4)} = 0 \rightarrow \bar{\theta} = 0$

[8/29]

[Bento, Branco, Parada, (1992)]

- CP symmetry is good symmetry in UV
- CKM phase from spontaneous breaking of CP

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \quad y_u, \ y_d, \ y_D, \ M_D \text{ are real} \\ \rightarrow \quad \langle \eta_a \rangle \text{ are complex} \end{array}$

 $m = yv, B_i = \sum_a \kappa_{ai} \langle \eta_a \rangle$

$$-L_{mass} = (u_{R,1}^{c} \ u_{R,2}^{c} \ u_{R,3}^{c}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{u,11} \\ m_{u,22} \\ m_{u,33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{L,1} \\ u_{L,2} \\ u_{L,3} \end{pmatrix} + (d_{R,1}^{c} \ d_{R,2}^{c} \ d_{R,3}^{c} \ D_{R}^{c}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{d,11} \ m_{d,12} \ m_{d,13} \ B_{1} \\ m_{d,21} \ m_{d,22} \ m_{d,23} \ B_{2} \\ m_{d,31} \ m_{d,32} \ m_{d,33} \ B_{3} \\ 0 \ 0 \ M_{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{L,1} \\ d_{L,2} \\ d_{L,3} \\ D_{L} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\arg \det M_{D}^{(4 \times 4)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\theta} = 0$$

[9/29]

[Bento, Branco, Parada, (1992)]

- CP symmetry is good symmetry in UV
- CKM phase from spontaneous breaking of CP

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \quad y_u, \ y_d, \ y_D, \ M_D \text{ are real} \\ \rightarrow \quad \langle \eta_a \rangle \text{ are complex} \end{array}$

 $m = yv, B_i = \sum_a \kappa_{ai} \langle \eta_a \rangle$

$$-L_{mass} = (u_{R,1}^{c} \ u_{R,2}^{c} \ u_{R,3}^{c}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{u,11} \\ m_{u,22} \\ m_{u,33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{L,1} \\ u_{L,2} \\ u_{L,3} \end{pmatrix} + (d_{R,1}^{c} \ d_{R,2}^{c} \ d_{R,3}^{c} \ D_{R}^{c}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{d,11} \ m_{d,12} \ m_{d,13} \ B_{1} \\ m_{d,21} \ m_{d,22} \ m_{d,23} \ B_{2} \\ m_{d,31} \ m_{d,32} \ m_{d,33} \ B_{3} \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ M_{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{L,1} \\ d_{L,2} \\ d_{L,3} \\ D_{L} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\arg \det M_{D}^{(4\times4)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\theta} = 0$$

 D_L and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{CP}}}(B_1d_{R,1}^c + B_2d_{R,2}^c + B_3d_{R,3}^c + M_DD_R^c)$ forms heavy mass eigenstate. d_R^c 's and D_R^c have O(1) mixing with complex phase! [10 / 29]

[Bento, Branco, Parada, (1992)]

- CP symmetry is good symmetry in UV
- CKM phase from spontaneous breaking of CP

 $\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \quad y_u, \ y_d, \ y_D, \ M_D \text{ are real} \\ \rightarrow \quad \langle \eta_a \rangle \text{ are complex} \end{array}$

 $m = yv, B_i = \sum_a \kappa_{ai} \langle \eta_a \rangle$

$$-L_{mass} = (u_{R,1}^{c} \quad u_{R,2}^{c} \quad u_{R,3}^{c}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{u,11} & & \\ & m_{u,22} & \\ & & m_{u,33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{L,1} \\ & u_{L,2} \\ & u_{L,3} \end{pmatrix} + (d'_{R,1}^{c} \quad d'_{R,2}^{c} \quad d'_{R,3}^{c}) \begin{pmatrix} m'_{d,11} & m'_{d,12} & m'_{d,13} \\ m'_{d,21} & m'_{d,22} & m'_{d,23} \\ m'_{d,31} & m'_{d,32} & m'_{d,33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d'_{L,1} \\ d'_{L,2} \\ d'_{L,3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\arg \det M_D^{(4\times4)} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\theta} = 0$$
$$m_d^{\dagger} m_d^{\dagger} = m_d^T m_d - \frac{m_d^T B B^{\dagger} m_d}{M_D^2 + B^{\dagger} B} = V_{\text{CKM}} m_{d,\text{diag}} V_{\text{CKM}}^{\dagger}$$

 $M_D \sim B \rightarrow O(1)$ CKM phase

[11/29]

Dangerous corrections

[See, Dine and Draper (2015) for review]

- Relative phase between η 's are physical \rightarrow (# of η) ≥ 2
- η 's should have same quantum number

$$\eta_1 \eta_2^* \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \text{Charge neutral} \\ \bullet \quad \text{Complex VEV} \end{array} \right. \quad \text{e.g.,} \quad \begin{array}{l} L_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \eta_a \eta_b^* D_L D_R^c \\ L_{\text{CPV}} = (\lambda_{ab} \eta_a \eta_b + h.c.) |H|^2 + \lambda'_{abcd} \eta_a \eta_b \eta_c^* \eta_d^* \end{array} \right.$$



- Small M_{CP}
- Unnaturally small couplings

[12 / 29]

Nelson-Barr meets supersymmetry

Dangerous terms can be suppressed by Supersymmetry !!

- Higher dim. Operators
- \leftarrow Holomorphy
- radiative corrections
- \leftarrow non-renormalization theorem

[Hiller, Schmaltz (2001, 2002)]

Gluino phase is dangerous in SUSY models

 $\bar{\theta} = \theta - \arg \det m_u m_d - 3 \arg m_{\tilde{g}}$

Gauge mediation (GMSB) is nice [Dine, Leigh, Kagan (1993)] [Hiller, Schmaltz (2002)]

$$W = M_* \Phi \overline{\Phi} + S \Phi \overline{\Phi} \rightarrow m_{\tilde{g}} = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \frac{F_s}{M_*}$$



Anomaly mediation (AMSB) contribution

$$\frac{m_{\text{AMSB}}}{m_{\text{GMSB}}} \sim \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \frac{m_{3/2}}{m_{\tilde{g}}} < 10^{-10} \rightarrow m_{3/2} < \sim 100 \text{ keV} \times \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{g}}}{10 \text{ TeV}}\right)$$

$$[13/29]$$

Radiative correction on $\bar{\theta}$

Let us estimate $\delta \bar{\theta}$ in SUSY Nelson-Barr w/ GMSB.

Let us assume $M_* < M_{CP}$



[Fujikura, Nakai, Sato, Yamada (2022)]

[14/29]

Let us estimate $\delta \bar{\theta}$ in SUSY Nelson-Barr w/ GMSB.

Let us assume $M_* < M_{CP}$



[Fujikura, Nakai, Sato, Yamada (2022)]

[15/29]

Let us estimate $\delta \bar{\theta}$ in SUSY Nelson-Barr w/ GMSB.

Let us assume $M_* < M_{CP}$



[Fujikura, Nakai, Sato, Yamada (2022)]

[16/29]

Let us estimate $\delta \bar{\theta}$ in SUSY Nelson-Barr w/ GMSB.

Let us assume $M_* < M_{CP}$



[Fujikura, Nakai, Sato, Yamada (2022)]

Let us estimate $\delta \bar{\theta}$ in SUSY Nelson-Barr w/ GMSB.

Let us assume $M_* < M_{CP}$

 M_{CP} M_{CP} SUSY invariant M_* $\Delta K_{\rm eff} \sim \frac{y_D^4}{16\pi^2} d_{R,i}^{c*} d_{R,j}^c d_{R,k}^{c*} d_{R,m}^c$ $\delta m_{\tilde{d}_R^c}^2 \sim \left(\frac{y_D^2}{16\pi^2}\right)^2 \frac{M_*^2}{M_{CR}^2} m_{\rm GMSB}^2$ SUSY particle mass EW scale $\delta\theta \sim \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \frac{\mu \tan \beta}{m_{GMSB}^5} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Tr} \left[y_d^{-1} \delta m_{\tilde{d}_R}^2 y_d \delta m_{\tilde{q}_L}^2 \right]$ ~ $10^{-7} \times y_D^4 \frac{M_*^2}{M_{CP}^2} \tan \beta$

Safely small. Mildly prefer small M_*/M_{CP}

$$y_D^4 \tan \beta \times \frac{M_*^2}{M_{CP}^2} < \sim 10^{-3}$$

[Fujikura, Nakai, Sato, Yamada (2022)]

[18/29]

Summary so far

Nelson-Barr models

 $\rightarrow \bar{\theta} = 0$ @ tree-level up to dim 4 interaction.

SUSY Nelson-Barr models

 \rightarrow Holomorphy & non-renormalization theorem helps to $\bar{\theta} = 0$

SUSY Nelson-Barr models w/ GMSB

→ Phase of gluino mass can be controlled. Radiative correction on $\bar{\theta}$ is small enough.

Nelson-Barr + Supersymmetry + gauge mediation is nice!

[19 / 29]

0. Strong CP problem

1. A brief review on Nelson-Barr Mechanism

2. Nelson-Barr meets Affleck-Dine Baryogenesis

[20 / 29]

Cosmology

SUSY Nelson-Barr w/ GMSB motivates low reheating temperature T_{RH}

1. Gravitino problem

Stable gravitino + large T_{RH} could cause over-closure

2. Domain wall from spontaneous CP breaking

CP can be regarded discrete Z_2 . Large T_{RH} is dangerous



[Moroi, Murayama, Yamaguchi (1993)]

Affleck-Dine Baryogenesis is an interesting direction

[21/29]

Affleck Dine Baryogenesis

[Affleck, Dine(1985)] [Murayama, Yanagida (1993)] [Dine, Randall, Thomas (1996)]

Scalar potential in SUSY models

$$V = \sum_{i} |F_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} g^2 |D|^2$$

[Buccela, Derendinger, Ferrara, Savoy (1982)] [Affleck, Dine, Seiberg, (1984, 1985)] [Gherghetta, Kolda, Martin (1996)]

Non-trivial solution of $F = D = 0 \rightarrow$ flat direction

flat direction

Gauge invariant combination of chiral superfields

Recipe of B-L asymmetry :

- 1. Large VEV in flat direction
- 2. Scalar field starts to roll
- 3. Get phase rotation from B-L breaking terms



[taken from Dine, Randall, Thomas (1995)]

[22 / 29]

"Flat" direction with vector-like quark

A "flat" direction involving heavy VLQ:

$$\phi = q_L \overline{D}_R^c \ell_L \qquad q_L \approx \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \ell_L \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_R^c \approx \phi$$

VLQ destabilizes Q-ball :

- Non-topological soliton w/ large charge
- In MSSM Q-ball case, Pauli blocking on the surface suppresses decay of Q-ball
- VL squark can decay into MSSM squarks (no Pauli blocking)

[23 / 29]

Potential of "flat" direction

We take the following Kahler potential:

$$\begin{split} K_{H} &= -\frac{C_{H}}{M_{pl}^{2}} I^{*}I\phi^{*}\phi + \frac{C_{K}}{M_{pl}^{6}} I^{*}I(q_{L}^{*}D_{R}^{c*}\ell_{L}^{*})(q_{L}D_{R}^{c}\ell_{L}) \\ & \text{Destabilize origin} & \text{stabilize potential} \\ K_{A} &= -\frac{C_{A}}{(N!)^{3}M_{pl}^{3N}} I^{*}I(q_{L}D_{R}^{c}\ell_{L})^{N} + h.c. \\ & \text{B-L breaking (kick for rotation)} \end{split}$$

c_A term breaks B-L symmetry!

The scalar potential for ϕ direction:

$$V = m_{\phi}^{2} |\phi|^{2} - c_{H} H^{2}(t) |\phi|^{2} + \frac{c_{K} H^{2}(t)}{M_{pl}^{4}} |\phi|^{6} - \left(\frac{c_{A} H^{2}(t)}{(N!)^{3} M_{pl}^{3N-2}} \phi^{3N} + h.c.\right)$$

$$(m_{\phi} \sim M_{D})$$
[24/29]

Cosmological history 1. During inflation

$$c_H, c_K > 0 \rightarrow \langle \varphi \rangle \sim \left(\frac{4c_H}{3c_K}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} M_{pl} \neq 0$$

 $\sqrt{c_H}H_{inf} > m_{\phi} \sim M_D$

2. Oscillation of ϕ after inflation

AD field start to roll when
$$H \sim \frac{m_{\phi}}{\sqrt{c_H}}$$

Phase rotation if $c_A \neq 0$

3. Reheating completes

$$\frac{n_{B-L}}{s} \sim 10^{-10} \times \left(\frac{T_{RH}}{10^3 \text{ GeV}}\right) \times \left(\frac{m_{\phi}}{10^8 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{-1}$$

[25 / 29]

Parameters

Domain wall problem
$$T_{\text{max}} \sim \left(T_{RH}^2 H_{\text{inf}} M_{\text{pl}}\right)^{1/4} < \langle \eta \rangle \sim \frac{M_{CP}}{y^D}$$

BAU
$$\frac{n_{B-L}}{s} \sim 10^{-10} \times \left(\frac{T_{RH}}{10^3 \text{ GeV}}\right) \times \left(\frac{m_{\phi}}{10^8 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{-1}$$
$$\theta_{AMSB} \sim \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \frac{m_{3/2}}{m_{\tilde{g}}}$$
$$\theta_{radcorr} \sim 10^{-7} y_D^4 \tan\beta \times \min\left[\frac{M_*^2}{M_{CP}^2}, 1\right]$$
$$\theta_{planck} \sim 10^{-2} \left(\frac{\text{Re}\mu \tan\beta}{M_{pl}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\langle\eta\rangle}{M_{pl}}\right)^N$$
$$[26 / 29]$$

Result



- $T_{RH} \leftarrow \Omega_{3/2} = \Omega_{DM,obs}$
- $M_* \leftarrow m_{\text{soft}} = 10 \text{ TeV}$

[27 / 29]

Result



Smallest $m_{3/2}$ (smallest $\bar{\theta}$) •

•

[28/29]

Summary

- SUSY + GMSB + Nelson-Barr is nice framework to solve strong CP problem
- It motivates Affleck-Dine Baryogenesis (gravitino problem, low TRH, ...)
- Q-ball in flat direction w/ heavy VLQ is destabilized
- Neutron EDM could be an interesting probe for this scenario

Backup





[31]





$$\phi = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} arphi \; e^{i heta}$$

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} - \dot{\theta}^2\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi} = 0$$

$$\ddot{\theta} + 3H\dot{\theta} + \frac{2\dot{\varphi}}{\varphi}\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{\varphi^2}\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} = 0$$



$$\varphi^2 \dot{\theta} a^3 ~\sim~ \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{a^3}{H_{osc}} ~\sim~ \frac{V_{osc} a^3_{osc}}{H_{osc}}$$

[33]

$$\frac{n_{B-L}}{s} \sim T_{RH} \frac{n_{B-L,RH}}{\rho_{RH}} \sim T_{RH} \frac{n_{B-L,osc}}{\rho_{osc}} \sim \frac{T_{RH}}{(N!)^3} \frac{M_{pl}^2 m_{\phi}}{H_{osc}^2 M_{pl}^2} \sim \frac{1}{(N!)^3} \frac{T_{RH}}{m_{\phi}}$$

$$N = 3, \ y^{D} = 0.1$$

$$10^{11}$$

$$10^{10}$$

$$10^{9}$$

$$10^{9}$$

$$10^{8}$$

$$10^{7}$$

$$10^{6}$$

$$10^{6}$$

$$10^{1}$$

$$10^{1}$$

$$10^{2}$$

$$10^{3}$$

$$10^{4}$$

$$10^{4}$$

$$10^{4}$$

$$10^{4}$$

$$10^{4}$$

 $m_{3/2} \,[\text{keV}]$

$$N = 3, \ y^{D} = 0.1$$

$$10^{11} |\bar{\theta}| < 10^{-10} |\bar{\theta}| < 10^{-11} |\bar{\theta}| < 10^{-1$$

nEDM@SNS LANL TUCAN PanEDM PNPI-ILL-PTI n2EDM

Phase in AMSB contribution

AMSB contribution is inevitable:

SUGRA potential & gravitino mass :

$$V = \left|\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi}\right|^2 - \frac{3|W|^2}{M_{\rm pl}^2} + \cdots \qquad \langle W \rangle = m_{3/2}M_{\rm pl}^2$$

Anomaly mediation

$$m_{\lambda} = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} (3N_c - N_f) m_{3/2}$$

[38/33]